21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8		
9	FREDERICK JACKSON, ASHLEY NICOLE JACKSON, and BRIANA FREDRANIQUE ANNETTE JACKSON,	
11	Plaintiffs,	No. C 09-01016 WHA
12	v.	
13	GERALD VINCENT LOMBARDI, individually	
14	and as an officer of the City of Pittsburg Police Department (Badge # 275), CORY LEE SMITH, individually and as an officer of the City of	ORDER REGARDING DISPUTE OVER BILL
15	Pittsburg Police Department (Badge # 285), SANKARA REDDY DUMPA, individually and as	OF COSTS
16	an officer of the City of Pittsburg Police Department (Badge # 291), WILLIAM BLAKE	
17	HATCHER, individually and as an officer of the City of Pittsburg Police Department (Badge # 274),	
18 19	Defendants.	
20	/	

The parties have submitted bills of costs and objections to the costs submitted by the other side. Given the separate entry of amended judgment concurrent with this order, both sides are the prevailing parties so as to claim costs. The practical thing to do is for both sides to agree that the costs to which they are entitled cancel each other out so no one will receive any costs, subject to restoring the status quo ante if the court of appeals disturbs the judgment. The parties shall please submit a statement indicating whether they agree to this by NOON ON SEPTEMBER 30, 2010.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 28, 2010.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE